Analysis of Mathematical Thinking Habits of Mathematics Education Students

Rizki Hayati¹, Hartatiana^{2*}, Riza Agustiani³

1,2,3 Departemen of Mathematics Education, Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, UIN Raden Fatah Palembang
*corresponding author: hartatiana_uin@radenfatah.ac.id

Abstract (12pt, bold)

Humans are individuals who experience changes in adaptation from students to students with different learning systems. Each adaptation experienced by students will differ fromone individual to another. The purpose of this study is to find out the habits of mathematical thinking of students in mathematics education study program from one of the State University in Palembang. Respondents of this study were 50 students of the mathematics education study program at the state university. This study uses quantitative approachs. The design used is survey research. Survey was conducted by using closed questionnaire. The questionnaire consist of 32 statements regarding the habit of mathematical thinking in learning mathematics. The results of this study indicated that students are in the good category as much as 68.43%. For the indicators of flexible thinking and metacognitive thinking, each has a percentage score of 69.90% and 71.36%, all of which are in the good category. Meanwhile, thinking and communicating clearly and precisely has a percentage score of 63.6% in the sufficient category. It is hoped that further research will use the habit of mathematical thinking as a learning strategy to provide innovation in learning mathematics so that it has a positive effect on students to develop and improve the habits of mathematical thinking in learning mathematics.

Keywords: Habit of Thinking, Mathematical Thinking

Introduction

The development of human thought in providing boundaries regarding the meaning and meaning of education always shows changes at all times. The development of the mindset of educational experts, educational managers and educational observers has resulted in new theories.

Education is a conscious effort to create something inherited from one generation to another. Without going through an educational process, it is impossible for a person to develop in line with aspirations (ideals) for progress, prosperity happiness. and Through education, humans can broaden their horizons and obtain the knowledge they need. Education cannot be separated from learning in which there is a process of material delivering (knowledge) teachers as a provision for students to solve problems in their lives.

Mathematics is a subject that is introduced from an early age in Indonesia, but students always think that mathematics is very difficult (Dwirahayu, Kustiawati & Bidari 2018). Maryono and Nur (2016) stated that mathematics is a discipline that focuses more on the thinking process than the results. This is possible because in mathematics learning activities, it is not only oriented towards mastering

mathematical material, but the material is used as a tool and means of achieving certain competencies (Dermaawan, 2019). Some even make math a measure of one's intelligence. These things cause education in Indonesia cannot be separated from learning mathematics. This can be seen from every level in education in Indonesia, there must be mathematics learning in it.In study programs that study computers, mathematical skills are the basics for mastering informatics. Therefore, efforts are needed to overcome their learning difficulties. Difficulties faced by students can be resolved with various habits that they always do. Mathematics is a language, an activity to solve a problem, an activity to discover and study number patterns. Therefore, mathematics is really needed by humans in solving various life problems.

One of the determining factors in mathematical ability is previous mathematics learning habits, or often called mathematical thinking habits. According to (Gordon, 2011), mathematical thinking habits support students to think, be reflective, and be creative in problem solving. Individual success is largely determined by the habits that individuals often carry out in their daily lives, including studying. Good study habits will have a good influence on individuals, and vice versa. Habits carried out by individuals are

usually referred to as mathematical thinking habits

One of the habits of thinking is the habit of thinking developed by (Costa and Kallick, 2008) which can be applied in all situations and used at all developmental age levels. (Costa and Kallick, 2 0 0 8) shows that habits of mind contain various thinking habits that indicate the peak of personal intelligence, apart from that, thinking habits are also an indicator of academic ability which is related to success. Habits of thinking can also help individuals organize learning and find solutions to problems in interpersonal relationships and the work environment.

Mathematical thinking habits are affective aspects related to the way a person interprets problem solving such confidence, perseverance, accuracy, and flexibility in finding alternative strategies to solve problems in their mathematical field mathematics by understanding, searching for strategies, and solving the problems they face (Hafni, According to Nugroho, et al (2019) habits of mind are habits used in applying mathematical steps integrated in problembased learning to improve creative thinking skills.38 Meanwhile, according to Indhira, et al. (2019) Habits of mind are a framework or guidelines for a person to think, act, and behave when responding to a situation both in the context of learning and in the daily environment.39 So it can be concluded that mathematical habits of mind are a person's treatment in responding to a mathematical problem and applying steps in solving it.

Gordon Marshall (2016) considers mathematical habits of mind as the heart of the mathematics curriculum to enrich student thinking. This will later lead students to do mathematics, create mathematics, and solve mathematical problems. Gordon's opinion is in line with the opinion of Dwirahayu et al, namely the success of problem solving is supported by habits of intellectual behavior patterns which are signaled by habits of mind.

In living their lives, humans are always faced with a variety of problems ranging from simple to very complex levels. In an effort to respond and find solutions to problems, especially complex problems, intelligent behavior and strong dispositions are needed. The habit of thinking equips individuals to work on real-life situations, equipping the individual's ability to respond with awareness, thinking, and strategies to get the right solution. Habits of mind equip individuals to work on real-life situations, equipping individuals' ability to respond with awareness, thinking, and strategies to get the right solution. Habits of mind can be used to assess their mathematical skills by

understanding, seeking strategies, and solving the problems they face (Evi, et al., 2020). Mathematical creative thinking ability can be interpreted as the ability to solve mathematical problems with more than one solution and students think fluently, flexibly, carry out elaboration, and have originality in their answers.

Methods

This research quantitative uses methods. Quantitative research according to Creswell (2012) is a method to test certain theories by examining relationship between variables, usually measured using research instruments so that the data obtained consists of numbers that can be analyzed in accordance with the procedure. Quantitative research conducted in this study is related to obtaining data on the level of achievement of indicators of mathematical thinking habits of mathematics education students.

The design used is survey research. This research is to solve actual large-scale issue problems with a very large population, so a large sample size is needed (Widodo, 2008). The population in this study were mathematics education students at one of the state universities in the city of Palembang. Sampling was carried out by purposive sampling, where only mathematics education students in

mathematics study programs from state universities were selected. The research respondents were 50 students consisting of male students and female students.

In the research, the instrument used questionnaire to was explore mathematical thinking habits which had 16 categories based on Costa and Kallick's categories of mathematical thinking habits. Where researchers only used 3 indicators of mathematical thinking habits mathematics education students. The scores obtained are then interpreted in the interpretation table. Table 1 below contains description of the indicators mathematical thinking habits used.

Tabel 1. The indicators of mathematical thinking habits

No	Mathematic	Indicator	
	al Thinking		
	Habits		
1	Thinking	-Used to have the	
	Flexibly	capacity to change	
		thoughts when	
		receiving additional	
		data.	
		-Used to utilize	
		various problem-	
		solving strategies	
		and imagining	

		various		
		consequences.		
		-Has the habit of		
		developing		
		alternative answer		
		choices with trust in		
		intuition.		
2	Metacognitiv	-Consciously plan		
	e Thinking	strategies to produce		
	(Thinking	the required		
	about	information.		
	thinking)	-Has the habit of		
		maintaining		
		strategic planning		
		thoughts in the		
		problem solving		
		process.		
		-Has the habit of		
		evaluating yourself		
		about what has been		
		completed.		
3	Thinking and	-Used to solve		
	Communicat	mathematical		
	ing with	problems with valid		
	Clarity and	data.		
	Precision	-Speak in writing		
		appropriately when		
		explaining		
		mathematical		
		descriptions.		

These indicators are outlined in a statement in the questionnaire using a 1-4

Likert scale with a positive-negative score and the criteria used are in Table 2 below.

Tabel 2. Questionnaire score

Pilihan Jawaban	Skor	Skor
	Positif	Negatif
Selalu (SL)	4	1
Sering (SR)	3	2
Kadang-kadang	2	3
(KK)		
Tidak Pernah	1	4
(TP)		

Results and Discussion

The percentage range of mathematical thinking habit scores for mathematics education students was obtained from data collection using a questionnaire instrument. To determine the level of mathematical thinking habits of mathematics education students regarding mathematical abilities, an analysis of the questionnaire that was given to students was carried out. The questionnaire contains 32 statements in accordance with indicators of mathematical thinking habits which consist of 3 indicators according to Costa and Kallick. The questionnaire results are given a percentage range in table 3 below.

Tabel 3. The percentage of mathematical thinking habits

No	Percentage	Cathegory
	Range	
1	84,01-100	Excellent
2	68,01-84,00	Good
3	52,01-68,00	Fair
4	36,01-52,00	Poor
5	20,00-36,00	Bad

Below are presented the results of a questionnaire on students' mathematical thinking habits. For more clarity, see table 4 below.

Tabel 4. Score for each category of Mathematical thinking habits

No	Indicator	Percenta	Cathego
		ge	ry
1	Thinking	69,9%	Good
	Flexibly		
2	Metacognitiv	71,36%	Good
	e Thinking		
	(Thinking		
	about		
	thinking)		
3	Thinking and	63,6%	Fair
	Communicati		
	ng with		
	Clarity and		
	Precision		

From the table above it can be seen that students' mathematical thinking habits

regarding mathematical abilities are good and there are still sufficient categories so that there is still a need for mathematical learning that can provide positive habits that can improve students' mathematical abilities. For greater clarity, the following is a description of students' mathematical abilities based on their previous mathematical thinking habits.

Based on the categories of mathematical thinking habits, it can be seen that students' mathematical thinking habits fall into 2 good categories and 1 sufficient category. This means that most students are used to thinking flexibly and thinking metacognitively, while the indicator for thinking and communicating clearly and precisely is 63.6%, so it still needs to be improved. Most students have not prepared how to solve math problems in advance, but do it straight away. Meanwhile, the other indicators are in the good category, this means that students already have the habit of thinking mathematically. Then the overall percentage of the 3 indicators is in the good category with 68.43%. This shows that mathematics education students are good at mathematical thinking habits.

Individual development, especially students, should have developed well and have developed positive mathematical thinking habits so that students' mathematical abilities can develop well,

however, there are many factors that influence a person's mathematical thinking habits. As argued by (Gordon, 2011), including mathematical thinking habits in mathematics learning is not an easy thing. Based on this opinion and based on the results of the analysis and research findings found, it is hoped that mathematics education students will be able to change mathematical thinking habits in learning mathematics and lecturers can provide and facilitate good mathematical habits for students so that students' mathematical thinking habits develop and have an impact on students' mathematical abilities increase.

Conclusion

The mathematical thinking habits of mathematics education students have an overall percentage score of 68.43% in the good category. Meanwhile, the indicators, namely flexible thinking and metacognitive thinking, each have a percentage score of 69.90% and 71.36%, all of which are in the good category. Meanwhile, thinking and communicating clearly and precisely has a percentage score of 63.6% in the sufficient category.

In future researchers, it is hoped that the results of the survey regarding mathematical thinking habits can provide innovation in mathematics learning so that habits that have a positive influence on students can develop and have an impact on increasing students' mathematical abilities, especially in mathematical abilities.

References

- Aggriani, A., & Septian, A. 2019.

 Peningkatan Kemampuan

 Komunikasi Matematis dan

 Kebiasaan Berpikir Siswa melalui

 Pembelajaran Improve. Indonesia

 Mathematics Education, 2(2), 105–

 116.
- Costa, A. L., dan Kallick, B. 2008. Leading and Learning with Habits of Mind 16 Essential Characteristic for Success. United States of America:

 Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD).
- Dermawan, I. W. (2019, Juni 23).

 Pentingnya Belajar Matematika.

 Retrieved from Kompasiana.com:

 https://www.kompasiana.com/ikba
 lwahyudermawan4318/5d0f79b60
 d823 03d6f44cd32/pentingnyabelajar-matematika
- Dwirahayu, G., Kustiawati, D., & Bidari, I.

 2018. Pengaruh Habits of Mind
 Terhadap Kemampuan
 Generalisasi Matematis. Jurnal
 Penelitian dan Pembelajaran
 Matematika Vol. 11 No. 2, 91-104.
- Dwirahayu, G., Kustiawati, D., dan Bidari,
 I. 2018. Pengaruh Habits of Mind
 terhadap Kemampuan Generalisasi
 Matematis. Jurnal Pendidikan

- Matematika dan Matematika (JPMM)11(2), 1–13.
- Evi, dkk. 2020. Analisis Kesalahan Siswa dalam Menyelesaikan Soal PISA konten Uncertainty and Data.

 Jurnal Cendikia: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika.
- Faturohman, I., & Afriansyah, E. A. 2020.

 Peningkatan Kemampuan

 Berpikir Kreatif Matematis Siswa

 melalui Creative Problem Solving.

 Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan

 Matematika, 9(1), 107-118.
- Gordon, Marshall. 2011. "Mathematical habits of mind: promoting students' thoughtful considerations." Journal of Curriculum Studies 43.4: 457-469.
- Hafni, R. N., Sari, D. M., & Nurlaelah, E. 2019. Analyzing theeffect of students' habits of mind to mathematical critical thinking skill. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1211(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1211/1/012074.
- Indhira, dkk. 2019. The Role of Habits of Mind (HOM) on Student's Mathematical Problem Solving Skills of Primary School. *Al-Jabar: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 10.

- M. D. Pengaruh Kurniasih, 2017. Pembelajaran React terhadap Kemampuan **Berpikir** Kritis Matematis ditinjau dari Habit of Mind Mahasiswa. Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika, 2(1), 29. https://doi.org/10.22236/kalamati ka.v ol2no1.2017pp29-38
- Lulu, U.R. 2021. "Analisis Kemampuan Literasi Matematis Siswa Ditinjau Dari Kebiasaan Berpikir **Matematis** Siswa". (Skripsi, Ilmu **Fakultas** Tarbiyah dan Keguruan, Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah : Jakarta).
- Maryono, & Nur, C. 2016. Pemecahan

 Masalah Berstandar Pisa

 (Programme For International

 Student Assessment. Seminar

 Nasional Pendidikan Matematika

 (SEMNASDIKTA II) (pp. 28-34).

 Tulungagung: Institut Agama Islam

 Negeri.
- Marshall, G. 2016. Enabling Students in Mathematics. In *Enabling Students in Mathematics*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25406-7.

- Maulida, D. 2020. "Analisis Tipe Kebiasaan Berpikir Matematis (Hom) Pada Siswa Mts Di Jakarta Selatan". (Skripsi, Fakultas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan, Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah:Jakarta).
- Moma, L., & Dahiana, W. O. 2018.

 Pengembangan Habits of Mind
 Matematis Mahasiswa dalam
 Perkuliahan Geometri Analitik
 Ruang. In SEMNAS Matematika &
 Pendidikan Matematika (pp. 142–
 150).
- Newman, W. L. . *Metode Penelitian Sosial: Pendekatan Kuallitatif dan Kuantitatif.* Jakarta : PT Indeks. 2013
- Nugroho, S. E. Analisis Kemampuan Literasi Matematika Ditinjau dari Metakognisi Siswa pada Model Pisa-Cps. *Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research*, 6(1), 96–102. 2017
- Qadarsih, N. D. 2017. Pengaruh Kebiasaan Pikiran (Habits of Mind) Terhadap Penguasaan Konsep Matematika. SAP (Susunan Artikel Pendidikan), 2(2), 181–185.
- Syukria, Arina. 2012."Kemampuan komunikasi matematis dan habits of mind mahasiswa pada materi lintasan terpendek menggunakan

algoritma floyd warshall." Jurnal Peluang 1.2.

- Wahyudi, Imam. 2012. Pengembangan pendidikan (Strategi Inovatif dan Kreatif Dalam Mengolah Pendidikan Secara Komprehensif). Jakarta: PT. Prestadi Pustakary.
- W. Cresswell, J. 2012. Educational Research Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research .Boston: Pearson.
- Widodo,S. & Sari, D.P. & Hikmawan, R. 2019. Kebiasaan berpikir matematis dan kemampuan koneksi matematika mahasiswa (studi kasus pada mahasiswa psti). NTEGRATED (Information Technology and Vocational Education), 1(2), 43-46.