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Abstract: The implementation of sharia in Aceh has gone through a democratic process subscribed by the Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia. Nevertheless, some observers consider it problematic. Against this backdrop, this article discusses the principles of the formalization of sharia into positive law and further concretely analyses the case of formalization of sharia in Aceh. Employing a normative approach on research of law, this article shows that the principles of sharia codified in the qanuns of Aceh are grounded on the Qur’ān and the Sunna. The formalization of the sharia into the qanuns has gone through democracy and complies with the Indonesian law. Those qanuns contain regulations on ‘aqīda, muʿāmala, Islamic convocation, and Islamic criminal law.
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Introduction

This article attempts at, firstly, discussing the principles of the formalization of sharia into positive law and, secondly, concretely analyzing the formalization of sharia into positive law in Aceh. Both efforts are relevant due to the existence of a gap left by the academia in the topic as well as for an answer upon controversy about the implementation of sharia in Aceh. For example, Kamaruzzaman Bustamam-Ahmad considers the implementation of sharia in Aceh represents merely the symbolization of Islamic teachings and would not solve the conflicts in Aceh.\(^1\) Human Right Watch Report judges the sharia in Aceh unsatisfactory, especially for poor people, women, and youth with regards to a personal decision upon their faith, identity, and morality.\(^2\) Additionally, Reed Taylor\(^3\) views the implementation of sharia in Aceh for women is a manifestation of irregularities in the positive law of Indonesia nowadays.\(^4\)

Unlike the mentioned observers, some others response the sharia law in Aceh positively. Marzuki Abubakar, for example, conveys that the response of non-Muslims residing in Aceh remains positive upon the implementation of the sharia and they keep feeling safe to live and work in Aceh.\(^5\) Muhammad Ansor et al. shows that the implementation of sharia in Aceh respects, protects and gives freedom to non-Muslims to perform worships based on their faiths.\(^6\) Like Marzuki and Muhammad Ansor et al., this article points out positively the sharia in Aceh, yet from a different perspective. Accordingly, through a discussion upon the formal-legal perspective of the implementation of the sharia, this article objects misperceptions of the first group with an argument that the implementation of the sharia runs in compliance with the law and has gone through the democratic processes followed by the Unitary State of the Republic Indonesia.\(^7\)

With that aim in mind, this article would be divided into two parts. The first part discusses the principles and the basis of the law of the implementation of sharia in Aceh. As for the second, this article concretely analyzes the formalization of sharia as the positive law in Aceh. Furthermore, the elaboration upon these two points would derive to our conclusion.

The Sharia and the Legal Basis of Its Implementation in Aceh Province

From the perspective of etymology, shari'\’a\(\) means a clean path that is shown by Allah to human beings.\(^8\) The word is commonly used as the synonym of al-din and millah, which means all legal regulations that come from Allah and the hadiths of the Prophet Muhammad peace be upon him.\(^9\) Therefore, the
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\(^4\) Ibid., p. 590.


\(^9\) Ibid., p. 42.
sharia is understood as the rules of the religion based on the revelation received by the Prophet Muhammad.\textsuperscript{10} The word is also understood as the law of Allah that requires the legislative body in a country to implement as working regulation.\textsuperscript{11} Nevertheless, sharia is universal, whereas the formalization of the sharia is one of the ways to transform that universal and abstract norm of law concretely into written norms.\textsuperscript{12} Against this backdrop, the underlying problems that require a meticulous study with regards to the implementation of the sharia into formal law are the issues of the binding legal basis and the value of its justice.

Aceh province has been given the status of otonomi khusus (distinctive autonomy) to implement the sharia.\textsuperscript{13} Law Number 4 of 1999 and Law Number 8 of 2001 give authority and the opportunity to Aceh of self-governance, including in matters of religious affairs and the legislation of regional regulations. Based on both stipulations, the regional authority of Aceh creates regional law products that strongly assume sharia, manifested in the qanun in which defined the distinctive institutions that carry that law.\textsuperscript{14} It is stated in the Qanun Number 11 of 2012, the qanun represent a symbol of the implementation of the sharia both in the dimension of worship (‘ibāda) and faith (‘aqīda).\textsuperscript{15} The implementation of the sharia as one of the implications of the regional autonomy has brought about a more concrete understanding and practices of Islam compared to any time in the history of Islam in Indonesia.\textsuperscript{16} In addition to Qanun Number 11 of 2002 on ‘aqīda (faith), ‘ibāda (observances) and syi’ar Islam (Islamic convocation), the Aceh authority has also issued Qanun Number 6 of 2014 on Kitab Jinayat and Qanun Number 7 of 2013 on Kitab Acara Jinayat to implement the criminal law in Aceh.\textsuperscript{17}

The existence of these qanuns is considerably beneficial for its ability to become the basis of the implementation of sharia in Aceh. The Acehnese even take pride in it. They convey that with the sharia, their lives become better.\textsuperscript{18} Research reveals that if Islamic law is correctly implemented in Aceh, that puts forward values of justice, equality, and prosperity, it would lift the level of economic, politic, and culture of Aceh people.\textsuperscript{19} It is in line with the meaning of the principle of justice from the perspective of Islamic law, which is against one-sidedness.\textsuperscript{20} Therefore, we can say that the principles of sharia of Islam that are manifested through the Qanuns of sharia law in Aceh are grounded from the Qur’ān and the Sunna; both are the primary

\textsuperscript{11} Ibid., p. 548.
\textsuperscript{12} Jan Michiel Otto, Sharia Incorporated: A Comparative Overview Of The Legal Systems Of Twelve Muslim Countries In Past And Present (Amsterdam: Leiden University Press, 2010), p. 467.
\textsuperscript{15} Ibid., p. 277.
\textsuperscript{16} Ibid., p. 284.
\textsuperscript{17} Ramizah Wan Muhammad, Khairunnasria Abdul Salam, Afridah Abbas, and Nasimah Hussin, “The Legal Framework of Islamic Criminal Law in Aceh with Refer-ENCE to Application, Challenges and Way Forward,” Journal of Islam in Asia 15, no. 3 (2018): 304.
sources of Islamic teachings.\textsuperscript{21} Besides, a regional sharia regulation (\textit{perda syari’ah}) is also considered to have served the justice that is also the principle of sharia.\textsuperscript{22} The Qur’an is a blessing, not exclusively to Muslims, but also the whole humankind and the universe.\textsuperscript{23} From the normative, regulative, and cognitive perspectives, the implementation of sharia of Islam by the respective authorities in Aceh is a success, despite not fully optimal.\textsuperscript{24} Those authorities are Mahkamah Syar’iyah (Sharia Court), Dinas Syar’iat Islam (The Office of the Sharia of Islam), Baitul Mal (House of Wealth), Wilayatul Hisbah, and Dewan Pembinaan Pendidikan Dayah (The Council of the Cultivation of the Dayah Education).\textsuperscript{25} Accordingly, these institutions are expected to be able to reinforce the \textit{qanuns} of sharia optimally in Aceh and that the people could give full support for the more serious implementation of the sharia.

Basically, the law is a result of an evaluation managed by reason based on human’s conscience on justice about the human’s conducts. Just that comes from human’s conscience would lead to an assessment that every society has a different mode of conduct from others (obeying the prevailing norms) for the reason of actualizing justice.\textsuperscript{26} A behaviour is considered just or unjust based on an assessment that comes from the reasoning process as well as conscience about what a particular community wants within their ideology, ethics, morality, and religion. The Qur’an places just as something to be required, not merely as a moral constraint.\textsuperscript{27}

When religion becomes an awareness (either of an individual or a community) that transforms into guidelines (norms or rules) in deciding how someone should behave, it could be the rule of positive law. When it has become the rule of law, piety is not wholly subject to subjective-private affairs but subject to the coercion of the community through a specific method and procedure. At this point, in order to make a law effective in that people obey it, there is a need for authority and power or state. This is how the principles of Islamic law transform unhurriedly into the system of positive law.\textsuperscript{28}

From the perspective of the formation of the formal or positive law, the sharia of Islam should be considered as a value, a norm—\textit{volksgeist}—that exists and grows along with the development of the history of the state. For this reason, sharia should be expressed in the positive law (formal law), either through the legislation procedures, jurisprudence (the actual practice of law in courts), or any other methods of lawmaking. In line with the expressive function of law, i.e. conveying ideology, the value of justice applied in the community should be legally considered truth and justice.

From the perspective of Islamic philosophy, truth and kindness are connected to wisdom, law, and tradition. This view is supported by an Islamic philosopher, Ibn Miskawaih, that defines wisdom as \textit{al-hikma},
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the law as *al-shari’a* and tradition as *al-sunna*. The formalization of sharia means making harmonious wisdom between the universal vision of sharia and particularity of a state to realize peaceful life. Practical wisdom (practical philosophy) brings about the law that manages human being in the world based on theoretical wisdom (theoretical philosophy). Sharia reflects the universal truth of religion. For this reason, the practical wisdom that manages rules bond to particular contexts is not sharia.

Sharia as a firm, clear, and explicit norm, as an ahistorical and universal truth, that is believed to be the sole truth with total acceptability and application, does not need reformulation in positive law. Nevertheless, the formalization in the context of positive law could only be conducted for *fiqh*, and it is urgent to do to give assurance of its application by the law enforcing institution.

All Muslims who believe in Allah as the source of legal power would unlikely deny the sharia of Islam as a rule of good conduct. Islamic law (sharia) is believed to be a norm that regulates good conducts, either with regards to humans' relationship with Allah or that with other creatures and the universe. Accordingly, Islamic law (sharia) is a set of rules or norms as a reflection of the ultimate, sole, and universal truth.

The Formalization of Sharia in the Concept of National Legal System in Aceh

1. Introduction to the Legal System

The system means an assembly of parts that work together in order to achieve a particular goal. The legal system, hence, is a set of stipulations, institutions, and traditions of law\(^3^0\) that reflect the principles and rules of the positive law existing in society.

The national legal system is the unity of diverse norms, including written and unwritten laws, customary law, and religious norm. The national legal system is an unbreakable unity, which means that the system views the diverse social communities that hold divergence social values and the custom that becomes the source of justice and the legislation of the laws, either in the national or regional level, as part of the legal system of the Republic of Indonesia.

Scholars have different views about the definition of the national legal system; nevertheless, they share a common view about the diversity of laws in Indonesia.\(^3^1\) Accordingly, the national legal system cannot be considered as a single positive law because this system is composed of various subsystems of laws. The heterogenic subsystem of law within a positive law system is part of the national legal system.

For this reason, the law should be viewed from the perspective of the system instead of merely normative point of view.\(^3^2\) The norm of law is only one element of the totality of the legal system, which includes the foundations of law, the norms of law (regulations, traditions, agreement and the foundation of international laws), professional law enforcers and institutions, structures, infrastructures, as well as the culture of law. This sys-
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tem is established from those elements, and all of those elements work effectively.

The system of law is not merely a set of written laws or regulations, but also includes a subsystem that is one interrelated part with another. Mochtar Kusumaatmadja views the elements of the legal system includes norms, institutions of law, and the concrete formalization of norms. Consequently, in addition to unwritten regulations or laws, the legal system also includes operational elements, i.e. organizations, institutions, and officials as well as concrete implementing elements. Following the positivism school of law of Hans Kelsen, the written rules are drafted hierarchically based on the authority of the forming institutions. The structure of the written laws is called laws and regulations.\(^\text{33}\)

Article 2 of the decision of the People Consultative Assembly (TAP Majelis Permusyawaratan Rakyat) Number III/MPR/2000 about the legal system stipulates that the hierarchy of laws is as follows: the constitution of 1945, TAP MPR of the Republic of Indonesia, Laws, the Government Regulation in Lieu of Law (Peraturan Pemerintah Pengganti Undang-undang, Perpu), the Government Regulation, Presidential Decree, and the Regional Regulation. Currently, Article 7 of the constitution Number 2 of 2011 on the Establishment of Laws, defines the hierarchy of laws in Indonesia as follows:

1. The constitution of the Republic of Indonesia of 1945
2. The Decision of the People Consultative Assembly of the Republic of Indonesia (TAP MPR)
3. Laws/government regulation in lieu of law
4. Government regulation
5. The presidential decree
6. The province-level of regional regulation
7. The regency level of regional regulation

Law has a dynamic nature. Additionally, one law should be in harmony with other laws, both horizontally and vertically. A legal system should not have a stipulation that is contradicting to one another. This is the meaning of the harmonious and synchronic relationship between law and the others of its level or higher. To synchronize a legal system is a daunting task, for the existence of laws whose kind, form, and time of its establishment are not in harmony with others, either law at the same level or higher. Nevertheless, there should never be a contradiction between one norm and another within a legal system to ensure legal certainty.

To avoid contradiction within a legal system, there are foundations that should be followed by the legislative bodies, like the following:

1. A higher level of regulation wins over that of the lower (\text{lex superior derogate legi inferiori})
2. A new regulation wins over the older (\text{lex posterior derogate legi priori})
3. A specific regulation excludes the general one (\text{lex specialis derogate leg generali})

All of these foundations play a critical role in a legal system in order to harmonize and synchronize the legal system. If a legal system in one particular field contains a contradiction, either with the law of the same level or that of the higher, those foundations function to control, so it does not occur. A legal system should not contradict to one another.

\textbf{2. The Formalization of Sharia in Aceh as Positive Law}

The formalization of the sharia of Islam as a form of regional autonomy of Aceh province is politically a strategy to put the armed conflict taking place in Aceh to an end. It is the most concrete political policy of

\^{33} Ibid., p. 91.
\^{34} Ibid., p. 93.
the central government that receives positive assessment and support from local Aceh people.\textsuperscript{35} Showing a commitment to implement the sharia in Aceh, the government establishes the working institutions to ensure the reinforcement of the sharia law.

The fundamental question on the issue this article deals with is how the implementation of the universal value of sharia into positive law. Basically, the legislation of the qanun reflecting Islamic value in Aceh is one part of the legal system in Indonesia. It is based on the Constitution of 1945, which is the source of the governance system in Indonesia, that recognizes and respects the regional government apparatus that has the status of special autonomy. Aceh is a province that is given that kind of autonomy.\textsuperscript{36}

The legal basis of the implementation of the special autonomy in the Province of the Special Region of Aceh is the law Number 44 of 1999 that indicates the plausibility of implementing the sharia of Islam in Aceh.\textsuperscript{37} Nevertheless, the implementation of the special autonomy law is yet to ensure justice. Accordingly, the central government issues the law Number 18 of 2001 about the Implementation of Special Autonomy of The Province of the Special Region of Aceh as the Province of Nanggroe Aceh Darussalam. Yet, the Law Number 18 of 2001 is not capable of accommodating the dynamics of the growing of aspiration, economic development, and political justice. For this reason, another law is issued, i.e. the Law Number 11 of 2006 about the Governance of Aceh (\textit{Undang-Undang Pemerintahan Aceh, UUPA}). The UUPA stipulation assumes the autonomy of Aceh people in its broadest sense in order to materialize prosperity for Aceh people.

One of the critical authority provided to the Aceh government with regards to legislating the implementation of the sharia is found in Article 125 par. (2) of the UUPA, that explicitly sets that the Islamic law implemented in Aceh includes observances (ʻibāda), family law, civil law, criminal law, justice, education, dawā, Islamic convocation (syiar) and the defence of Islam. Mahkamah Syar‘iyah is given authority as the court upon problems related to criminal and civil law as well as familial dispute following the qanuns. Mahkamah Syar‘iyah is a court institution in Aceh that is inseparable from the religious court system in Indonesia.\textsuperscript{38} Accordingly, Mahkamah Syar‘iyah is a conversion of the Religious Court. Nevertheless, because UUPA gives the Mahkamah authority of implementing the qanuns in Aceh, Mahkamah Syar‘iyah has an additional duty on acts violates sharia law as stipulated in the qanuns.

The UUPA gives Aceh government the authority of legislation in scopes of al-syakhshiyah, muamalah, jinayah that further manifests as qanun Aceh.\textsuperscript{39} Qanun is legal provisions implemented exclusively in Aceh—not in any other regions in Indonesia—that are derived from Islamic principles.\textsuperscript{40} Every Muslims residing in Aceh should obey and practice the sharia of Islam, and non-Muslims living in Aceh should re-

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item David Kloos, “In the Name of Syariah? Vigilante Violence, Territoriality, and Moral Authority in Aceh, Indonesia.” p. 73.
\item Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2006 Tentang Pemerintahan Aceh, Pasal 128., n.d.
\item Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2006 Tentang Pemerintahan Aceh, Pasal 1 Angka 21., n.d.
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
spect the implementation of the qanun.\textsuperscript{41} One of the essential qanuns in implementing the sharia is Qanun Number 6 of 2014 on jinayat (criminal conducts).

Even though the qanuns of Aceh has been enlarged to cover criminal law, which is distinct substantively, the process of the formalization of Islamic law in qanuns of sharia in Aceh—viewing from its relationship to the national legal system—raises severe problems and concerns.\textsuperscript{42} Basically, the formalization of sharia of Islam in Aceh has encountered several problems, because the sharia that would be implemented should be in line consistently with the national law.\textsuperscript{43} One example of the problems is a debate on the draft of Qanun Jinayat that stipulates rajam (stoning) for the adulterer. Article 241 of the UUPA points out that the Qanun Jinayat gives Aceh government the possibility of deciding the penalty according to the sharia of Islam in jinayat as long it is necessary and appropriate.\textsuperscript{44} This article conveys that the Qanun Jinayat can disregard other stipulations that include a maximum penalty of 6 (six) months of detention and/or maximum mulct of Rp. 50.000.000,- (fifty million rupiahs) and reinforce the penalty of non-detection or non-mulct as long as does not violate other existing regulations.

There is a debate on the issue of the jurisdiction of the sharia approved by UUPA. The debate arises from the fact that law requires interpretation so that Article 241 of the UUPA gives Aceh government authority of formulating and using the existing norms and penalties based on sharia provisions on jinayat if considered necessary and relevant. This means that the sharia as stipulated by UUPA is qanun or regional regulation which is a specific law, leading to the concept of lex specialis derogate lex generalis (a specific regulation excludes the general one). Consequently, the qanun of sharia cannot be annulled unless by a judicial review by the Supreme Court. On the other hand, some other scholars consider that the qanun of the sharia, even though it is in the same level as regional regulation cannot be modified by the Ministry of Home Affairs, but only through the material examination or judicial review by the Supreme Court.\textsuperscript{45}

Despite this debate, there has so far been no qanun that is annulled by the Ministry of Home Affairs as well as the Supreme Court for the reason of its contradiction with other laws. Viewing Article 72 of Qanun Hukum Jinayat and KUHP or other regulations outside KUHP, the Qanun Jinayat should be put forward.\textsuperscript{46}

\section*{Conclusion}

From the above exploration, this article concludes that the implementation of sharia in Aceh has gone passed through democratic process subscribed by the Unitary State of the Republic of Indonesia, and is not in contradiction with the higher law. This line of the statement is evident that so far there has never been a sharia law manifested in the qanun that is annulled by the Ministry of

\textsuperscript{41} Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2006 Tentang Pemerintahan Aceh Pasal 126., n.d.


\textsuperscript{43} M.B. Hooker, Indonesian Syariah: Defining a National School of Islamic Law (Singapore: ISEAS, 2008), p. 246.


\textsuperscript{45} Husni Mubarak A. Latief dan Bukhari Ali, Problematika Legislati Qanun Jinayat Di Aceh Pasca Implementasi Undang-Undang Pemerintahan Aceh (UUPA), Laporan Penelitian (Banda Aceh: Lembaga Penelitian (Lemlit) IAIN Ar-Raniry, 2012).

Home Affairs and the Supreme Court, questioning whether it vertically contradict to other regulations. Therefore, even though the implementation of the sharia is yet to be optimal, the negative criticisms addressed at the sharia law of Aceh—that it merely represents the symbolization of Islamic teachings, that it does not solve the conflict, and that it points to irregularities within the Indonesian law—are invalid.

The principles of sharia that reinforces the value of justice—especially with regards to the substance of the verdicts mentioned in the qanuns of criminal law and the formulation of respective institutions that are responsible with the implementation of the sharia such as Mahkamah Syar’iyah and Wilayatul Hisbah—have been included into the qanuns of Aceh. The formulation of Islamic law is held by approving the qanuns that comply with the substance of sharia, including the affairs related to ‘aqīda, mu‘āmala, Islamic conviction, and Islamic criminal law. Accordingly, there is a need for commitment and unity of vision that the implementation of the sharia in Aceh is not exclusive, but rather inclusive within the national legal and legislation system.
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